Planning Board Meeting 9/19/2024 Minutes

**In attendance**: Board Members Stan Bujalski, Mark Canfield, Gerry Panuczak, and Harry Bertino.

The meeting was called to order at 16:00.

Mr. Panuczak presented the 7/25/2024 draft minutes. Mr. Canfield motioned to approve as written. Mr. Bujalski seconded the motion. All Board members present voted affirmative.

Mr. Canfield read and email response from WEA Board Vice Chairman, Nancy Seward. Mr. Bujalski motioned to include the email with Planning Board minutes. Mr. Canfield seconded the motion. All Board members present voted affirmative.

Mr. Canfield presented a draft warrant article to create a CIP committee. Mr. Bujalski shared Thornton information, Mr. Canfield presented Lincoln information, and Mr. Panuczak presented Campton information regarding their CIP Committees. Mr. Panuczak suggested that the draft article include recommended member representation. Mr. Bertino stated that he would research RSA's that pertain to CIP committees, and report at the next meeting.

Mr. Bujalski passed out copies of the first four pages of the Thornton CIP Committee Handbook.

The next Planning Board meeting was scheduled for October 17, 2024 at 16:00 hours.

Meeting adjourned at 16:45 hours on September 19, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerry Panuczak



**WEA VP <vp@watervilleestates.org>** Mon, Jul 29, 11:08 AM

to me, Gerry, Linda, James, Susan Reply

As I do not have all of the Planning Board member emails, please distribute to all members:

Planning Board members,

Our Board of 8 members comprises many different opinions and we do our best to respect these differing viewpoints. To maintain a cohesive front, we remind Board members in January to not represent the Board's opinion or make broad Board statements when attending meetings or having conversations with District representatives. Despite this, I feel some inaccurate comments were made at your last meeting.

To correct the record, the Association does not forecast capital improvements. We have a short (non-comprehensive) list of imminent recreation-oriented needs in the next year or two and we keep an emergency reserve with the realization that our facilities are aged. We rely on the Commissioners, our GM, and the videos/transcripts/attendance of District meetings to inform us of future needs. I would also like to correct the statement that the CIP initiative is a "surprise" to the Board. The majority of our Board is very aware of this project, as you have discussed at many prior meetings, and the majority support improved long term financial planning for our community. We have also heard comments at your prior meetings that a Board member be involved in the CIP process, so we feel there has been sufficient communication of intent with our Board.

To dispel future miscommunication, I am requesting that any questions regarding the Board's opinion or our future interactions with the District/Planning board be directed to a Board Officer. At the present time, our officers are Linda Ivers (co-VP), Jim Reynolds(Treasurer), Sue Spinney(secretary), and me, Nancy Seward(VP).

As a regular taxpaying owner viewing the meeting, I would also like to make the suggestion that the Planning Board only take comments from the audience at the end. The last meeting became chaotic and it was difficult to follow the official business with all of the audience interruptions.

Thank you for your time. Please reach out if further discussion is warranted.
Nancy Seward